

No. 53 June 1972

No. 53 June 1972

Spearhead

10p

10p

PARTS OF BRITAIN BECOMING LITTLE ASIAS

Bengali

Gujerati

Punjabi

میں پر ٹھیک دیکھا ہے مگر نہ فرمائیں میں اپنے بھائی کا درجہ درج ۶-۶۔ لگائیں
فہرست کر دیا اور کہ شیخ میں میں روکا دیا اور بڑے کو۔ تھے دنیا نہیں
تھے پتھر کی قیمتی ہیچ بھی جگہ تھے پتھر کے روپ۔ فیر رہیں نامام شئیں دیکھ
نہ فرمائیں پا کر سارے بسیج رو۔

Urdu

اے پرچم سندھ دہلی کے گرسن دنخ نے ۔ گرتے
فیصلہ رتے نیں ۔ گرتے ہیں میں اُتھیں ۔ تو صدھات قتل رنہ
کیتی دنی نام ادھر نجی دی جنگ مدد میں فریزیں ۔ اور دنیا نام
کشٹ چو جو نہ خرم ہم مَ دیں بیسچ دیں ۔

Issued by
Social Services Directorate
Town Hall
Tottenham, N15.

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Where the Cap Fits

When Mr. Enoch Powell spoke recently about 'Roy Jenkins' and his desire to play a big part on the stage of Europe, there was no doubt whatsoever that it was not Mr. Jenkins he had in mind but someone much more important.

'Mr. Jenkins', he said, had an ambition "to participate in taking decisions, not for Britain here at home, but for Europe in Brussels, Paris, Luxembourg or wherever the imperial pavilions may be pitched.

"In the same direction scores of eager faces are turned," Mr. Powell said. "Nor is this limited to politicians.

"The institutions of the Establishment, professional, industrial, financial, already have their advance parties ahead, scouting out the lobbies and corridors of power of the future."

Mr. Powell certainly spotlighted a powerful element in the motivation of those

who are in the forefront of the drive towards integration with Europe — the desire to strut the stage of international business and diplomacy and to play the part of 'world statesmen' of the front rank. Indeed a more glamorous role than that of serving the British people in Britain.

A certain decorum no doubt induced Mr. Powell to speak of the chief opposition spokesman for Europe when he dwelt on these things, but the British public should have got the message alright. Where the cap fits, it assuredly will be worn.

Heroes and Anti-Heroes

We drew attention last month to the way in which a superior will-to-win had resulted in the Communist victories in Vietnam. In this connection a most interesting article appeared shortly afterwards in the *Sunday Telegraph*, entitled 'Why Saigon's Army is on the run'.

Among the factors given to explain the higher morale of the North Vietnamese forces, the article stressed the strong prevalence of the Hero Cult among soldiers and among the population in general. "Hero emulation," it said, "is the lever that gets the man into the army, and the lever that prepares him to fight."

The national culture of North Vietnam places heroes in the forefront of its themes. Operas, plays, songs and poems are written about national heroes. Reverence for heroes is instilled very early into the young.

There is nothing particularly original in this habit, nor particularly Marxist. Every nation in times of vigour honours its heroes as an example to its young and places heroism, in war and in peace, among the most important virtues.

The contemporary culture of most of the non-Communist world today, however, does the very opposite, and this we owe to the reign of intellectualism instead of intelligence.

To the intellectual, heroism is stupid because it contradicts the strictly rationalist philosophy on which his beliefs are based. In its place he has introduced the cult of the 'anti-hero', the fellow who proves himself superior by shirking military service and looking after his own skin. It is the anti-hero who at the present time holds the centre of the stage.

This admirably suits the Communists, which is why they encourage such a tendency in the culture of the West while promoting the very opposite in their own camp. Anything that will rot the morale of their enemies must be promoted with as much dedication as those things that raise Communist morale. It is part of a technique of psychological warfare that Lenin laid down half a century ago.

Well and Truly Brainwashed

Recently the National Front office received a letter of resignation from a member which they passed on to us for comment. It is well worth comment for the condition of mind it reveals.

The letter says: "I wish to be removed from the files of the National Front. This is not due to your policies . . . I agree intellectually with your policy on immigration . . . but I cannot reconcile it with my conscience.

"Please do not attempt to change my mind about this . . . my mind is made up . . . I will now follow my conscience instead of my head."

If ever a letter revealed the effect of modern mass-brainwashing, this is it. It shows how an attitude has been shaped which is resistant to all promptings of reason and common sense and which has been conditioned to regard such issues as that of race as issues of "conscience" in which one must blindly follow a moral imperative, even if all life's facts contradict it. It is reminiscent of the peasant superstition of the darkest of dark ages and, in more recent times, of the rule of the African witchdoctor. It is amazing that liberals, who base so much of their thought on the rationalistic philosophy of Locke, Bentham and Rousseau, now resort to the most irrational persuasion to foster a belief about race which is necessary for the maintenance of their political power.

Source of 'Pupil Power'

It had to come. After the idiocies of Student Power, 'Pupil Power' was never far off. It came when the pupils of Rutherford Boys' Comprehensive School, Marylebone,

SPEARHEAD

No. 52 MAY 1972

Office: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, CRO 2QF, Surrey (Tel. 01-684 3730)

Editor: John Tyndall Asst. Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.



PUPIL POWER AT RUTHERFORD
Abdication of teachers authority to blame

got out of control and went on strike last month. In no time the nation was being told of the existence of a pupil's union, the SAU, and its leaders were being deferentially interviewed on radio and television.

Reports are that various left-wing political organisations are trying to penetrate and take over the union but that these efforts are being resisted. However, it would seem to us that whether such a takeover bid succeeds or not the purposes of those promoting revolution in the world will be well served. Another nail in the coffin of social order and discipline has struck its mark, and no doubt will penetrate deeply before very long.

The fact that the pupils at Rutherford felt able to take the action they did is revealing. Ten or twenty years ago it would have been unthinkable, as the authority of teachers was still comparatively well established. Only by the systematic breakdown of this authority over the past decade could an atmosphere have been created which made such a confrontation possible.

Each incident of this breakdown, small in itself, has served to present a continued tendency. Here a headmaster backs down from his decision to order short haircuts. There a college principal gives way on some matter of discipline after a mass sit-down of students. Somewhere else it is reported that teacher-pupil 'dialogues' are taking place in some school in which pupil is allowed to talk to teacher just as he likes. Everywhere it is being implanted into the student and pupil mind that authority has lost the will to assert itself and with the minimum of agitation can be toppled.

This tendency will not be arrested by isolated cases of teachers taking their own initiative and acting firmly; it will only discontinue with what Spengler called 'the resurgence of authority' as a principle on the national level, and that, in a society governed by the values of contemporary liberalism, looks unlikely for a long time.

Loner or not?

The attempted assassination of Governor George Wallace, American presidential candidate, bears a certain resemblance to the assassination of President Kennedy in 1963 in that the world's press has gone to extraordinary lengths to depict the man responsible as a 'loner', a slightly peculiar, inadequate individual desperate to draw attention to himself, rather than a part of any organised conspiracy.

When Kennedy was shot by Lee Harvey Oswald, the 'loner' theory was accepted by the media. Then several people began to come forward with evidence of wider involvement. Each of them thereupon suffered mysterious deaths. It will also be remembered that Oswald himself was shot by Jack Ruby before he could stand trial (and perhaps give evidence of who was behind him).

It will be interesting to see what investigations will reveal about the would-be killer of Wallace — if any are allowed.

On the Square

One of the most interesting items of news to appear in recent weeks has been the call for legislation to stop the spread of freemasonry in the police force, made in the weekly journal, *Police Review*, by Police Sergeant P. J. Welling.

According to Sergeant Welling, constables are being tempted to reach the top through a "secret society which teaches secret handshakes and other secret signs."

Joining the freemasonry movement after passing examinations is regarded as a sure way to promotion, he wrote.

We should be grateful to Sergeant Welling for his service in shedding light on this phenomenon. At the same time, we cannot in the circumstances be optimistic

about his own chances of promotion henceforth. To offend the mighty masonic network is to court disaster in many branches of our national life, police included.

Many of us have often been struck by the contrast in attitudes between the lower and higher ranks of the police force towards people like ourselves and towards patriotic political movements, such as the National Front. The attitude of the lower ranks has usually been strongly sympathetic, while that of the higher-ups has much more often been hostile. It seems that the sickly, liberal, internationalist outlook current in much of Britain today has not penetrated through very much to the ordinary bobby on the beat, or indeed to his station sergeant, who retain the native common sense to know who and what the true sources of crime are and how to deal with it, but in the higher ranks Britain's police force is riddled with semi-educated boozers willing to lend an ear to every kind of cranky, permissive theory on crime and punishment.

We have long been of the opinion that freemasonry is an institution for furthering the careers of men in all professions who show the greatest willingness to kow-tow to the liberal-leftist establishment and frustrating the careers of those who do not. To learn from the inside of the police force of the big influence of masonry in that body is to have at least part of this opinion confirmed.

Rabbinical Folly

"Pickets should take up position outside all the shops selling the new edition of Hitler's *Mein Kampf*. And, at the same time, Jewish businessmen ought to get together to buy the copyright of the book — and so bury it for all time."

These views were recently expressed by the Minister of London's Marble Arch Synagogue, Rabbi Maurice Unterman, speaking to his congregation.

Does the good Rabbi not realise that what he is proposing must play right into the hands of those who seek to resurrect Nazism? One of the most potent planks of Hitler's platform was his claims about the dominant power of Jewish business and the way it was used to buy up and monopolise sections of the public opinion-forming media in Germany. At the same time one of the greatest condemnations of the Hitler regime was that it set out to suppress all views contrary to its own, manifest in the famous "burning of the books" organised by Dr. Goebbels in the thirties.

Does Rabbi Unterman want another burning of the books? And is he proposing that his fellow Jews should act just as Hitler was always alleging that they acted? If this is the case, it would seem that he is doing no service at all to the Jewish community — which surely wants what happened under Nazism never to happen again.



MAJOR-GENERAL RICHARD HILTON, D.S.O., M.C., D.F.C.

The National Front and National Defence

PART 3

GENERAL HILTON was educated at Malvern College and the Royal Military Academy, Woolwich. He served with distinction in the First World War, being wounded in action. After the war, he served in the Indian Mounted Artillery from 1924-30. From 1930 to 1933 he was a Staff Captain at the War Office, then he was in the British Expeditionary Force sent to Europe at the outbreak of World War II, as Chief Instructor to the School of Artillery (Air). From 1941 to 1944 he was Commander RA in the 15th (Scottish) Division and was again wounded in action. At the end of the war he was Brigadier, General Staff to the Allied Liberation Forces in Norway, then from 1947 to 1948 was British Military Attaché in Moscow.

He has written several books, of which the best known is *IMPERIAL OBITUARY*. Others are: *MILITARY ATTACHE IN MOSCOW*, *INDIAN MUTINY*, *N.W. FRONTIER*, *NINE LIVES* and *THE THIRTEENTH POWER*.

LET US START by assuming that, one day, a patriotic government will be in power, and that the nation as a whole will have thrown off its present mood of permissive decadence and spineless defeatism.

Public opinion by that time will have seen through the hollow pretence of U.N.O. as a means of ensuring international justice or national independence. Everything essential to British interests must depend on our own national "guts" — i.e. our resolute intention to protect those essentials by strong and wise diplomacy, by revival of our commercial-industrial efficiency, and, whenever necessary, by a bold and vigorous use of force.

What exactly are these "essentials" that we will have to defend?

Firstly the true and absolute independence of the United Kingdom and all its parts. No longer must we tolerate foreign influence of any kind, whether it be financial and indirect or a more blatant exhibition of armed might.

Secondly we will have to be able to help true friends of Great Britain in any part of the world, whether they be our kinsmen overseas, or any other nationalities who may

prefer the British way of life to that of the Iron Curtain, the Bamboo Curtain, or Dollar Imperialism. In our present degenerate state we have temporarily lost many friends abroad. We will not win them back by submerging ourselves into cosmopolitan Europe. But we will quickly regain old friendships by "pulling our own socks up", putting our own house in order, and showing that we do not intend to be bossed by anyone else. Thus our defence responsibilities will be twofold — the United Kingdom itself and "our friends abroad".

DETERRENCE

Now defence does not mean passive defence exclusively. It never has and never will. It includes a certain degree of "deterrence" — i.e. the art of dissuading aggressive neighbours from overstepping the mark. There is nothing new about deterrence. It is found in wild life — the growl of a dog, the spitting of a cat, the stink of a skunk. In power politics today it is the world's main hope of preventing nuclear war.

Defence also includes the power to hit back. Our revived defensive machinery must therefore take account of these three factors — the prevention of a certain form of war, the actual defence of these islands, and of certain regions overseas, and thirdly the power to hit an enemy early, quickly, and hard in the way that will hurt him sufficiently to make him give up his aggressive behaviour.

We have already seen how effectively nuclear deterrence is working today. Some may therefore ask "Is it necessary, under these circumstances, that Great Britain herself should possess a nuclear deterrent?" The answer is "Yes". The present deterrent only works because it is shared by more than one power. If ever it falls exclusively into possession of one power, or if two "super-powers" make a compact to dominate the whole world, then the self-deterrent value of nuclear armament would at once be lost. Some may think it impossible that the U.S.A. and U.S.S.R. (for example) should ever form a pact to rule the world between themselves. But so did Poland once think about Germany and Russia, till the Molotov-Ribbentrop pact happened. The sad fairy tale of the Babes in the Wood can all too easily happen to small

nations today. The effectiveness of the nuclear deterrent depends on its being shared among several fairly non-aggressive nations.

As a great power, who has out-grown any former aggressiveness, Great Britain ought certainly to be among the sharers of this vital deterrent. We shall not need vast stocks of it — just enough to make aggressors think twice.

Apart from this, the bulk of our fighting power will be designed to defeat any conceivable act of non-nuclear armed aggression against the United Kingdom or strategically important regions abroad. Both passive defence and the power to hit back will be needed, but the latter should be given a much higher priority than has been the case under "peace-at-any-price" governments of the past. Quite contrary to timorous fears that vigorous action on a small scale might "escalate" into global war, recent history has shown that it does nothing of the kind. The contrary is true. A sharp blow early in the right place often nips in the bud one of the favourite tactics of today's trouble-makers — namely a gradual breakdown of law and order somewhere, starting quite mildly perhaps with professional "demonstrations", surreptitiously backed by intimidation by reigns of terror against law-abiding citizens.

Ulster today is a case in point. Many others can be found in the sad history of our British "scuttle from empire".

NEW FORM OF WARFARE

It would be hard to overstate the importance of this new form of warfare in international politics today. The world is full of small communities, nursing some real or imaginary grievances. To stir these sparks into a flame and to nourish the flame with weapons, ammunition, foreign "freedom fighters", and money, has become a fine art with the military leadership of certain big powers. For at least a decade this is likely to remain the predominant kind of warfare. We must adapt ourselves to it, never forgetting that it is a two-edged weapon, and that few of our probable enemies are free from internal "trouble spots".

Contd. on next page

SIR LESLIE READS THE LESSON ON INFLATION

"The evils of inflation provided Sir Leslie O'Brien with a text yesterday. He asked the fundamental question — do we sincerely want to put a stop to inflation? His own answer is clearly yes, but it is a sign of the times that the Governor of the Bank of England should need to ask whether inflation is accepted as the prime enemy." (Daily Telegraph, 26.4.72)

Though it is non-U to criticise the Bank of England, and if such emanated from any financial quarter it would appear the height of ingratitude, for the Bank has served financial interests faithfully, it is nevertheless time to express the point of view of the public and the nation as a whole.

The Bank has been the citadel of 'Sound Finance' since its birth in the dim distant past. It has been ruled by a hierarchy possessing distilled wisdom and expertise in monetary matters unapproached by any other authority, coupled with undisputed power in monetary matters until 1946 when the technical position changed and official control passed to the Treasury. But the *de facto* position, from all accounts, remained as previously. It is therefore quite impossible for the Bank to escape responsibility for a system which allows its currency to fall in value at the rate of 10 per cent per year, nor is it creditable for bankers to suggest that inflation is created in any measure by the folly or selfishness of those who do the onerous work of society — there is no monopoly of such weaknesses by any class.

The sight of a body of men, who risk life and health recovering a priceless commodity from the stores of nature, demanding a decent living wage, or men who are responsible for the safe transport of millions of fellow men asking for a minimum of £20 per week so that their minds may be free from the worries of paying their mortgage charges, is a new and threatening indication that the centre of power has moved slightly in the direction of giving recognition to human considerations in preference to purely financial.

Bankers may note with relief that up to the moment trade unions have not claimed the right to create money. This would indeed be the signal that a sectional interest intended to usurp the powers of Parliament — and the powers, or rather the privileges — of the private banking system!

Like motorists on the M1 careering into a fog bank, drivers in the financial system go straight ahead regardless, too busy to listen to the opinions of passengers, and so caught up in the system that they cannot stop. Fifty years ago they were warned that the system was basically wrong and unable to serve the needs of people. The warning went unheeded; unemployment, poverty for millions, and inflation have continued to exact their toll, in frustrating the undoubted rights of many in this age of plenty. One thing to which this nation has a legal and inalienable right, which has been denied to it, presumably by the Bank of England, is the

full and beneficial ownership of its own currency and national credit, which would have maximised growth rate and eliminated inflation.

Before the Government goes over the brink, and casts away our right to self-government, putting future generations into the tender mercies of foreigners whom no one can know, and from whose possibly tyrannical grip they cannot escape short of civil war, let them face up to these questions, if they retain any honesty.

FREE SPEECH DEFENCE COMMITTEE

Your Help Needed

At a meeting of anti-Immigration patriots held in London recently it was decided to establish a Free Speech Defence Committee in order to assist NF organisers Peter Applin and Ken Taylor defend themselves against an impending prosecution by the Race Relations Board which was instigated following an NF public meeting in Potters Bar last year.

The committee is a non-party organisation and proposes to assist all responsible patriotic activists who fall foul of the Race Board. Donations collected for, but not used in the Applin/Taylor defence will be held in a permanent fund. It is not certain whether Messrs. Applin and Taylor will receive Legal Aid, so large donations are urgently needed now. Cheques should be made out to the Free Speech Defence Committee and sent as soon as possible to The Hon. Treasurer, Free Speech Defence Committee, P.O. Box 539, London, S.W.10.

SPEARHEAD FUND

As we have informed our readers, *Spearhead* needs to raise a sum of £500 over and above ordinary income from sales in order to continue publication for the year 1972. Since our last appeal we have received contributions to the value of £22.50. We therefore still need to raise £72.00. All help will be welcome. Please send your contribution to: *Spearhead*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CR0 2QF, Surrey.

PRINTING SERVICES

Printing services are available to Nationalists at very reasonable rates. For estimates write to Box 1933, *Spearhead*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CR0 2QF, Surrey.

NATIONAL DEFENCE

(Contd. from previous page)

It is a form of war that calls above all for fighting men rather than for massive and expensive weaponry of sophisticated types. You cannot crush urban guerrillas by massive aerial bombardments of cities. Victory in such wars will depend on really first class fighting men, equipped mainly with small-bore arms, but supplemented by bazookas, mortars, rockets, or other kinds of light artillery whenever the situation demands this.

Flexibility and great mobility will be the characteristics of these modernized land forces. The size of formations will vary from a division down to a commando of half a dozen men, entirely as each situation demands. As regards mobility, R.A.F. Transport Command will convey such a force to anywhere in the world in less than a day, parachuting them where necessary. Logistic supplies and the unit's heavier weapons will follow in later air-drops.

If and when tanks, heavier artillery, or heavy road vehicles are also required, sea transport (probably in small ultra-rapid craft of the hydrofoil type) may be necessary.

Yet another possibility may be the use of small fast helicopter-ships to cruise off-shore while first-line troops, followed by their supplies are heliported ashore from these fast ships.

Helicopters in any case will certainly provide the tactical mobility for the light units and their supplies over shorter distances of manoeuvre and battle, after their initial landings.

In order to cut down the amounts of heavier vehicles, that might otherwise have to come by sea, it seems likely that the art of commandeering local motor transport (both civilian and hostile military) will be exploited to the full by our light and intensely mobile expeditionary forces of the future.

Space prevents me from delving more deeply into details, nor is it perhaps necessary in this non-technical journal. Enough has, I hope, been said to show the vast possibilities for "hitting an enemy where it hurts" by means of small but intensely mobile invasion troops, landed in the right places. In my next I hope to discuss the other side of the coin — i.e. what we will have to do to counter similar blows by the enemy in (say) Ulster or some such sore spot.

LAST MONTH yet another concession was given to Asians to enter Britain. Some thousands were let in who had been part of the familiar "shuttlecock" system, being sent by plane backwards and forwards across the world.

Home Secretary Reginald Maudling said that the Government would make what he called a "limited issue" of special vouchers for settlement in this country to UK passport holders in India.

"The annual global allocation of special vouchers will be increased from 3,000 to 3,500," he said in a written answer to a Commons question.

The Government, it was learned, had been forced to take action because of the growing difficulty in dealing with British passport holders desperate to get into Britain.

Most are banned from returning to India or East Africa — so that Britain, it is claimed, has little choice but to allow them in.

At the same time it was stressed that the Government policy was "as firm as ever" on the issue of maintaining control over immigration from all parts of the world.

Our comment on this latest concession is that the British Government, and through it the British people, are being made first class fools of by those international powers intent on flooding this country with alien migrants. Planeloads of Asians have only to be shuttled back and forth two or three times between India or East Africa and sickly, guilt-ridden liberal sentiment will demand that they be let in, quite regardless of whether the British people want to take them or not. In this way controls on immigration become an utter farce: there is always a way to get the immigrants through the barrier if they persevere long enough.

ABSURD CLAIM

The claim that the Asians have to be admitted because their countries of origin will not allow them back in is quite absurd. Whether they come from India or East Africa, their native countries are heavily enough dependent on British aid for the slightest bit of economic pressure to bring them to heel. And even were the threat of withdrawal of aid not to work, all manner of economic sanctions could be applied, even by Britain alone, which could gravely jeopardise the flimsy economies of those countries involved.

Not to admit people back into their native country is a callous and inhumane practice, while not to admit them into a country where they have no roots and no business is perfectly sensible and right. If sanctions against Rhodesia are deemed to be justified on the grounds of her domestic policies with regard to the different races, they would be a hundred times more justified in the case of those countries who turn their own flesh and blood away from

Why Keep Letting These Asians In?

their shores.

There is a campaign to flood our own country with alien migrants which can be said without exaggeration to amount to a conspiracy. It is a conspiracy that is succeeding because of the soft-headedness of the Tory Government as well as of its Labour predecessor. Now Labour have announced new plans to take away the small controls that there presently are on immigrants, and let in a fresh flood. Whatever government is in power, it acts in contempt

of the great majority of the British people. Tory, Labour, Liberal — it doesn't matter which it is: the policies are the same: the migrants pour in.

Of the leading politicians of the day, only one makes any sense on this issue — Enoch Powell. And he has been sacked by his own party and vilified and slandered by the other two. Nevertheless, history will prove — and is already proving — that it is Enoch Powell who is right and they who are wrong!

GOOD SENSE FROM AN M.P.

Opening the door to more immigrants

From Mr HAROLD SOREF, M.P. (Con.)

SIR—The immigration policy envisaged by the Labour party pamphlet "Citizenship, Immigration and Integration" reveals the party's hypocrisy and its rejection of public opinion. At the very time when we are ceaselessly reminded that, because we are an over-crowded island, abortion, male sterilisation and contraception, on demand from puberty, are essential to the well-being of the indigenous population, it is proposed that more people should have the right of free entry to Britain.

The new immigrants are little inclined to respond to such modish genetics, and are possessed of neither capital nor technical expertise to increase our national wealth.

The classifications of those whose presence is encouraged are more likely to increase the ranks of the unemployed, over which the authors are politically strident on another platform. These new immigrants automatically become beneficiaries of the Welfare State which is the most effective pied piper of our times. No other country in the world, however prosperous, would dissipate its resources and future in this manner.

Nor is there a two-way traffic since it is increasingly difficult for United Kingdom citizens to obtain employment in the countries of the new Commonwealth and impossible for them to obtain the rights, benefits and franchise which is afforded upon arrival at these hospitable shores. Whereas Britons are deported from the new Commonwealth on trumped-up political charges with increasing frequency, even convicted spies and felons are afforded the hospitality and social services of this overtaxed and under-

protected land.

One of the reasons Mr Callaghan and subsequent Home Secretaries introduced their Immigration Acts was the intensified racial policies of the Left's New Commonwealth protégés against those enterprising and industrious minorities who developed the primitive lands in which they settled. However, Race Relations Acts are not for export and Race Relations Boards do not operate in those territories. They are for home consumption only. Nor are those domestically engaged in the Civil Rights syndrome concerned at these double standards.

The comrades are indeed selective in their hospitality. Visitors from Rhodesia, with a record of service to Britain and a background of distinction, if they happen to be white or of a political complexion differing from the authors of this pamphlet, would be less ecstatically welcome.

Those who demand intensified immigration to these shores are the identical people who would further dissipate the British tax-payers' bounties on overseas aid. Yet they are committed to oppose such a courageous commercial enterprise as Cabo Bassa which is calculated to improve the standard of living of tens of thousands of Africans, black and white.

They would expand the present sanctions against peaceful and progressive Rhodesia, which has never been a charge on the British taxpayer, to encompass Portuguese Africa and South Africa thereby reducing the standard of living of the indigenous population and the strength of the West. Having achieved this further decline of the West they will inevitably demand yet more British aid without strings doubtless at the behest of the United Nations.

HAROLD SOREF
House of Commons.

YES, THIS IS BRITAIN 1972!

Because Government Has Abdicated, Gun Law Reigns on U.K. Territory

THE PICTURE ON THE RIGHT is not out of a film. It does not feature a situation from one of those familiar novels about a hypothetical insurrection in Britain. It represents not fiction but fact. It is happening — here on British soil, in 1972.

The picture was taken at the entrance to the Creggan Estate, in Londonderry. Beyond that entrance gun law, the gun law of the I.R.A., rules. It is the Government; it is the judiciary. Its orders are obeyed without question; it is the final court of appeal. Oh! And one other thing — it is subsidised by the British taxpayer to the tune of £50,000 a week.

Such is the situation in Northern Ireland today resulting from the policy of 'moderation' and 'bi-partisanship' of the Heath Government. It is a situation that leaves most citizens of this country wondering if some haze of insanity has not descended on their leaders. Here are a few hundred criminal thugs, ruling a part of Britain by the machine gun, administering their own brand of justice to those under them, openly laughing at the law of the land. And nothing is done about it. A hundred yards or so away is the British Army, but they are allowed to do nothing; they are ordered just to sit and watch!

The whole country is asking: just what the hell is going on?

The Government's answer is that to go into the area, and other similar areas (yes, there are more of them!), would cause great bloodshed. Innocent people would be killed.

So we stay put! But let's think just for a moment what this means. Anyone could start an armed insurrection in this country, take over some area and defy the forces of the Crown. It could be Asians in Southall or Smethwick; it could be West Indians in Brixton or Notting Hill. Or it could be just left-wing students at one of our universities. Or one of the East End Kray-type gangs. Anyone.

And it could be claimed that to go and winkle them out would involve bloodshed, loss of life — perhaps to innocent people.

So we let them stay where they are!

This amounts to the abdication of

government, and it is what has happened in Britain today.

Occasional danger to people is a price that every sane community knows that it has to pay for order — for without order there is anarchy, and that brings danger to far more people.

So, even if it means danger to people, if sending the Police and Army into these areas is necessary to restore the rule of law, that is what we must do. A Government that shirks doing it makes Britain the laughing stock of the world.

But the ludicrous thing is that sending in armed forces is not necessary.

At the present time most of the normal services are given to people in the areas:— Gas, electricity, water, postal services: all are working. People go out of the areas to get food and take it back in again. And, not only that, they even go out to get their social security payments.


These services are available to them at the cost of £50,000 a week.

Including several wanted I.R.A. men!

All that is necessary for order to be restored is for the areas to be put under siege, all normal services withheld, including social security benefits, and all food supplies prohibited from entering the areas.

There would be no need at all for any innocent people to get hurt. I.R.A. rule could not last more than a few days.

Recently, a reporter from the *Sunday Telegraph* tried to find out from officials



why these services, totalling £50,000 a week, were still operating. The post office said: "Our attitude is to keep things as normal as possible. If we stopped going in it would just mean a hardening of attitudes."

The Gas Board said: "On the whole, we operate very well, with the staff carrying out normal duties . . . All sections of the community must be treated and served alike." Asked about non-payment of gas bills, the spokesman said it was a delicate matter and would rather not comment.

Said the Electricity Board: "We're trying to give as normal service as possible."

What of the I.R.A. 'courts'? Recently, two young boys, aged 16 and 17, were on trial for robbing a small shopkeeper. Said the president of the court: "You know it is our policy not to rob small shops?"

Not shops generally, just small shops!

It was first intended to punish the boys by shooting them in the leg, but in the end leniency was decided upon and they were made to sweep the streets at gunpoint instead.

Then there are the I.R.A. transport services. How do they run? Simple, armed I.R.A. men just syphon petrol, again at gunpoint, out of any car they choose that enters the area. The car owners, naturally, do not obstruct them.

So this is a piece of Britain in the year of 1972. The country waits to see what will be done about it. So far the country has waited in vain.

THE STORM of protest that has greeted the latest £100 million plan for the redevelopment of Piccadilly Circus is indicative of a mounting resentment on the part of Londoners as to the way their city is being disfigured by the land and building speculators.

Whether the new Piccadilly plan actually goes through does not decisively affect what has become the broader issue of the uglification of Britain's cities in the pursuit of Mammon. This issue has now become one of profound political importance. With an ever greater part of the population becoming sensitive to the aesthetic, as well as the material, aspects of its environment, there has arisen an atmosphere of earnest stocktaking with regard to the quality of life in our great cities — which particularly affects the British people, since we are a nation of city-dwellers to a greater extent than most.

Over many years of travelling on the Continent of Europe, I have become struck again and again by the generally superior quality of city design prevailing there. This is by no means a rule that applies universally: there are cities in Europe equally hideous to the worst in Britain; and we have, in Edinburgh, a city equal in panoramic beauty to the finest on the Continent. However, a city with the style and grace of Edinburgh is quite exceptional among the larger urban areas of Britain, while it is by no means so in Germany or Italy, Spain or the Scandinavian countries. By and large, while the smaller towns and villages of Britain are as attractive as any in the world, cities of over 300,000 inhabitants are more usually places through which one is anxious to drive as soon as possible.

CONFUSION

It is easy to look at this question in a purely modern context and say that British cities, like most others in the world, face an age of architectural confusion in which Classic and Gothic forms have been rejected largely in the cause of cost-effectiveness, which has to produce from every square-foot the maximum return on investment in areas where space, usually, is in short supply. That is all true, and the problem is not confined to this country. However, for much longer than in most parts of the world urban design in this country has been dictated by commercial considerations first and foremost. As a result, while the new can be revolting the old was really just as bad. Those in our cities have had a thin case on which to base their opposition to modern development because in the original form there was little in the city there to spoil. Perhaps in Edinburgh alone there has been a legacy from the past that is really worth fighting for, and this probably has something to do with the fact that that city has resisted the inroads of the developers

JOHN TYNDALL

UGLIFICATION: THE MODERN DISEASE


better than most.

It is not difficult to see why in Britain, more than anywhere this side of the Atlantic, commerce has ruled the development of our cities for such a long time. Britain has for two centuries at least been the classic home of laissez-faire political economy, the country in which State power *viz-a-viz* business power has always been weakest. The grandeur of Paris, the quality that gives that city a special stamp, is basically the legacy of certain periods of French history when great creative builders were "given their head", so to speak, by powerful State forces not under pressure, as our own have usually been, from commercial lobbies. The regimes of Louis XIV and Bonaparte are the two most prominent examples. Much of the shaping of modern Paris, in addition, was due to the influence of Baron Haussmann in the period of the

Second Republic. Though in this period arbitrary State power was less than in the previous two cases, it still was not subject to the same influences from business as in Britain.

Two other great Continental cities, Vienna and Munich, are further examples of metropolises of immense cultural value that simply could not have taken the shape they did under liberal-democratic governments, ruled by shopkeepers and dominated by financial-utilitarian considerations. Much of Munich, indeed, was shaped under the patronage of Ludwig II of Bavaria, an autocrat with a dedication to art which spared no expense and which was literally free of any commercial influence. With the great heritage that was built in earlier times, the burghers of that city have up to this

Contd. on page 13



THE NEW
'DILLY'
Hideous
Monument
to
Mammon

How E.E.C. Will Destroy Nationality

IN seeking to re-assure the British electorate that they would not lose their national birthright in the Common Market, Edward Heath once stated: "There will be no loss of national identity — after all, the French are just as French as they always were."

This statement must surely be one of Humpty Dumpty's finest achievements, for he convincingly managed to confuse nationality with identity, which though related, are different. In fact, if Britain joins the Common Market she will lose both. The *Oxford Dictionary* defines "national" as "common to the whole nation" or "characteristic of the whole nation"; and "identity" as "absolute sameness" or "individuality" or "personality".

The theory of the architects of the Common Market is that if national identity can be destroyed then wars cannot occur. Yet war between the power blocs is no less possible, and, as some have it, limited wars are desirable to help employment and erase excess population. In all cases it is the leaders and politicians of nations who by their lies and deceit cause wars, not the peoples. National zeal is a mere tool for their ends — an aid to smashing nations by involvement in crippling wars.

The twentieth century has seen greater destruction of the identity of man than any other stage of history. Barriers and standards are being destroyed successfully and not replaced by stable and definable alternatives. The Common Market heralds the final stage in the European sphere of global domination, a stage which once reached will permit no return.

BASIS OF IDENTITY

The whole basis of identity stems from the family — the institution attacked as never before in recent years. Beyond the physical home and home-town environment, identity comprises social background, religion, schooling, language, race and inherited characteristics — and nationality.

The multiplicity of varying combinations of these factors make the individual's identity. In a nation state there will be several strong factors common to all its people. It is human nature for people with similar ideas and beliefs to group together. This unity brings the strength which trade unions call "solidarity", and, on a grander scale, is the patriotism of members of a nation-state. Such feelings of unity have a purpose in that they permit groups of individuals to determine their own lives in contrast to the will of others.

In the solidarity of kinship, trade and



MR.
HEATH
Given to
Humpty-
Dumpty
Talk

common ideals lay the strength of the British Empire and in the still existing White Commonwealth. Such solidarity provided men of fibre with the will to die rather than be slaves to anyone.

Traditionally Britain has been a country of Christian principles. To the great despair of many these principles have largely disappeared, due largely to subversive elements within the Church itself and within the educational establishment. Social religion is replacing revealed religion. This decay, aided by liberal materialism, is causing utter confusion. Much that is "of good report" is sneered at by social levellers and intellectual anarchists.

Truth, trust, honesty and respect have largely disappeared in this age of deceit. The spirit of lies, materialism and cowardice have replaced them — and it is that spirit that has made Britain's entry into the Common Market possible.

MATERIALISM PROMOTES STRESS

Force a person unwillingly to separate from one or more of the factors making up his identity and you will harm that identity, or smash it altogether, depending on the person's resilience. In this age of pollution and materialism, stress and mental illness — particularly depression — is on the increase, thus indicating that our national resilience is failing. Having been reduced to this lower state the individual is malleable.

The Common Market system will step up this assault on the individual, and hence lead to the destruction of national identity. Large scale unemployment — inevitable under

the E.E.C. system — will force masses of people to uproot: the latest example of this being some two million Dutch agricultural workers. Migrant workers will not speak the same language as host communities, nor will they be bound to them by ideological attachment. The motivation behind the relationship between migrants and host communities will simply be what they can "get out of" each other.

Migrants will be strangers to the laws and customs of their hosts, ignorant of their rights, and will have to suffer the strain of sustained or even permanent separation from their families. They will have to cope with housing problems, and in numerous other ways lead a life of financial and psychological insecurity.

WANDERING STATISTICS

Thus Europe will be filled with millions of rootless, and, in recurring times of recession, jobless hordes. Rootless people are lonely and uncertain people, open to exploitation of every type. When employed it will be in the service of vast multi-national corporations, to whom they will just be numbers. When unemployed they will simply be a wandering statistic. Such a fate is surely loss of identity and nationality?

It is inevitable that such a fate will afflict the British people, because the economic centre of gravity of the E.E.C. is the Ruhr and the rules of the Community allow for free flow of capital and labour. As investment capital flees Britain to find a more profitable home on the European mainland, unemployment in Britain will rise to undreamed of proportions and our people will be forced to leave their homeland. Eventually, by gradual degrees, they will lose their national identity.

While Britain is being drained of her natural population and reduced to what E.E.C. economists call a "peripheral area", her ties with the White Dominions will have been utterly shattered. Our kinfolk will have been absorbed into other International Finance inspired structures. At this stage the conquest of the British peoples will be complete.

This great betrayal must be resisted by all means possible. The fight against the Common Market is a fight to retain individual identity, national identity and freedom.

An understanding of Race is the key to World History — Disraeli.

IVOR BENSON exposes dishonesty of multi-racial propaganda

THE Equality Dogma

Reprinted with acknowledgements to: BEHIND THE NEWS
P.O. Box 3145, Durban, South Africa.

SOUTH AFRICA'S newspaper-reading public is exposed daily to a continuous barrage of propaganda designed to undermine its morale by planting a sense of guilt over the country's traditional policy of racial separation.

A good example of this form of brainwashing was an article which occupied most of the first page of the magazine supplement of the Argus Company's *Sunday Tribune* of March 12 under the heading WHITE IS BRIGHT, the message being that Blacks would also be "bright" if they enjoyed the same environmental advantages, and could even be expected to duplicate the "economic miracle" of modern Japan. The article was illustrated with a picture of Black babies squatting in a typical slum backyard.

Behind all this leftist brainwashing on the subject of race there is an intellectual dishonesty which people who know the truth about race must find utterly revolting.

By intellectual dishonesty, we do not mean a fully conscious intention to deceive; we refer to a form of willing self-deception which makes its victims ideal instruments for the propagation of dangerously misleading falsehoods which certain power-wielders find politically advantageous. This willingness to be deceived on the subject of race can be explained in two ways:

1. The false doctrine of racial equality happens to flatter an attitude of life now prevalent among the toothless intellectuals all over the Western world; 2. Men's beliefs are inevitably influenced by all the obvious personal advantages of alignment with Establishment thinking.

In the same way that the discoveries of Galileo threatened the anthropocentric cosmogony of his time, so the findings of a true race science conflict with the basic requirements of ever-increasing centralisation of political power culminating in some form of one-world government.

Equally revolting are the efforts of the newspapers to dress up as genuine debate exercises in propaganda in which the opposite point of view is feebly represented or excluded altogether.

Lacking the space for a thorough examination of the race-equality dogma, we must content ourselves with a few comments on the *Sunday Tribune* article.

To start with, who is this "Dr. Gerald Machanik" who "poses a question to make South Africans think"? What are his qualifications for pontificating so confidently on this subject? Is he an anthropologist? Has he any scientific educational background at all? We are told nothing.

In the article, however, several names are mentioned and Dr. Machanik does not omit to tell us that "The verdict of science was pronounced in a UNESCO statement issued in 1962 by 14 famous scientists,

including Professors Julian Huxley, J. B. S. Haldane, Solly Zuckerman and Ashley Montagu", their findings being summed up in this sentence: "No basis exists for believing that groups of mankind differ in their innate capacity for intellectual and emotional development".

The most active of these UNESCO spokesmen is Ashley Montagu, who has written scores of books and magazine articles and has appeared in countless radio and television programmes popularising the notion that there are no basic racial differences except those which appear upon the surface. We remember that on one occasion when he was being interviewed on television he became very angry when questioned about his original name; whatever that was, it was not Ashley Montagu. Usually, however, Professor Montagu runs little risk of being asked embarrassing questions by liberal establishment interviewers.

CITIZENS OF THE WORLD

Professor Ashley Montagu helps to explain the saying made famous by George Orwell in *Animal Farm*, that "all animals are



DISRAELI
Minority racist

equal but some animals are more equal than others". What he did was to lend his name to a full-page advertisement in the *New York Times* of January 5, 1970, recommending a book by Professor Ernest van den Haag, entitled *The Jewish Mystique* in which it is argued that the Jews are a master race with a superior intellectual apparatus.

Another book, *The Creative Elite* by Dr. Nathaniel Weyl, also claiming that the Jews are an intellectually superior race, has likewise never been challenged by liberal establishment anthropologists, who spend so much of their time insisting that all races are equal.

What an interesting article the *Sunday Tribune* could have if it would quote some of the acknowledged experts who have so easily demolished the race-equality dogma as enunciated by the likes of Montagu, Klineberg, Herskowitz, Ruth Benedict, Isador Chein, Dobzhansky, Weltfish and the Negro psychologist Kenneth Clark!

The unwelcome truth is that all this high-powered "anti-racism" is nothing more than an inverted minority racism which has been reduced to the necessity of falsifying a whole range of sciences which have to do with the task of explaining man to himself — anthropology, genetics, psychology, etc., etc.

Robert Ardrey in his book *The Social Contract* quotes what he describes as "a most revealing example of what passes for science" from Professor Sol Tax's introduction to a series of articles by some of the more vigorous of his young promoters of the race-equality dogma:

We particularly abhor the misuse by bigots or politicians of any of our knowledge. As scientists we never know all the truth; we must grope and probe and ever learn; but we know infinitely more than the glib racists — whether in the United States or South Africa. We are equalitarians, not because we can prove absolute equality, but because we know absolutely that whatever differences there may be among large populations have no significance for the policies of nations. This comes from our knowledge as anthropologists; but it also pleases us as citizens of the world.

The devious ingenuity with which Professor Sol Tax makes out a case for a science "that pleases us as citizens of the



BEETHOVEN

Intelligence contributing to cultural heritage

world" proves one thing, if it proves nothing else: Sol Tax at any rate knows the truth about race and is not one of the self-deceived.

RACIAL DIFFERENCES

The article which the *Sunday Tribune* printed in its issue of March 12 gives the reader no clue to the existence of a massive and highly authoritative literature challenging the case of "race equality".

Professor Carleton Coon, author of the massive *Races of Man* and former president of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists, was almost driven out of his mind by a smear campaign, all originating in minority power sources, for daring to point to the evidence of a time lag in the emergence of the Negro race from the pre-sapiens state.

Other world-famous scientists who have qualified for the smear title of "racial bigots", etc. include Professor Henry Garrett, former Professor of Psychology at Columbia University, Sir Arthur Keith, former President of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, and more recently Professor William Shockley (Nobel Prize winner) and Professor Arthur Jensen, who were made the

target of the most shocking abuse for daring to suggest at a meeting of the National Academy of Sciences in the USA that serious attention should be given to the subject of racial differences of intelligence in view of the great danger of rearing political policies on a foundation of scientific falsehood. (See *Behind the News* June 1971).

What is perhaps even more scandalous than the incessant brainwashing attacks on the White population of South Africa by the newspapers of the liberal establishment is the almost total absence of any protection against these attacks from a government which is supposed to be conservative and which has been charged by the electorate with responsibility for implementing the policy of separate development.

Is it any wonder that young people, including Afrikaners in former strongholds of national instruction like Stellenbosch and Pretoria, are left utterly bewildered by a barrage of propaganda which their own leaders appear to be afraid to try to answer?

Minority racism is a *right-hand* glove on the hand that wears it; pulled off and exhibited inside-out it is a *left-hand* glove of anti-racism and anti-nationalism. How easily people are deceived by this shallow trick of psychological warfare designed to confuse us

and prevent us from taking those steps which are necessary to ensure our national, racial and religious survival.

MYSTIQUE

We cannot conclude this piece on the subject of race without dealing briefly with a point only briefly mentioned above — the claim by Van den Haag (supported by Ashley Montagu, and Nathaniel Weyl) that the Jews are a master race with an innately superior intellectual apparatus.

While it is undoubtedly true that Jews as a group enjoy competitive superiority in an urban, cosmopolitan environment, much of this superiority can be traced to the enormous advantage of mutual support arising out of intense race consciousness and the shared loyalty of a nationalism which is all the more intense for being geographically dispersed.

In other words, the Jew is racially strong in an environment which makes others racially weak.

There is a vast difference, too, between the kind of intelligence which gives one individual more money or power in the highly competitive environment of a great city and that other kind of intelligence which contributes every gain it makes to some shared cultural heritage, making possible splendours of achievement like the music of Mozart and Beethoven, the painting of Raphael and Rembrandt and the sculpture of Michelangelo, in which the great artist did little more than recapitulate and render complete works of creative imagination which may have required the life-long efforts of successive generations of men.

It was this kind of excellence, raising man momentarily to a status 'a little lower than the angels', that was so magnificently demonstrated in that period of history known as the Renaissance.

RACIALISM BEHIND MULTI RACIALISM

Two Views

On these and the next two pages we are printing two articles on broadly the same theme. We do so because the theme in question is vitally important to an understanding of the contemporary liberal-leftist drive against White race-consciousness. One article is by our own Assistant Editor, the other by Ivor Benson, the eminent South African author, publisher and political commentator. The two articles, written without collusion, spotlight the same inconsistency — namely that those who are in the forefront of the world-wide drive against 'racialism' can often be found to be the most ardent practitioners of it themselves. Both Mr. Webster and Mr. Benson ask: why?

A NUMBER of readers have written to me questioning the purpose of the feature entitled "... And it shall be made known unto you" in last month's *Trouble-Shooting* column. Some asked why I took the trouble to suggest that organised Jewry pursues a double-standard in matters of race-relations, and others, including two Jews, disputed that such a double-standard exists.

In reply to these letters I have to say that if organised Jewry was an insignificant factor in the life of our nation and of the world, then its attitudes and policies in the field of race relations would not merit the space which I have devoted to them.

But it is because the Jewish people have such a high *per capita* proportion of talent compared to many other ethnic groups, and because their special talents are linked to a remarkable will-to-power which in turn has resulted in Jewry being able to exert an undeniably massive influence in the field of politics, culture and finance both nationally and internationally, that the attitude of the Jewish Establishment to such questions as race relations is of vital importance.

Some years ago the author C. P. Snow, who is by reputation "Left Wing" addressed a Jewish Community function in America and created a sensation when he said, in effect, that the Jewish people were, perhaps, the cleverest people on earth, and that the reason for this was because they took pains to sustain a large measure of exclusivity, which policy had the effect of preserving their ethnic characteristics.

While Snow's audience was understandably flattered and accorded him great applause, the spokesmen for Jewry were noticeably restrained in their praise because they felt that his comments tended to compromise their public image of being the champions of multi-racialism, cosmopolitanism and race-mixing.

ROLE IN RACE INDUSTRY

After all, had not the American Jewish community financed and provided the Presidents for the National Association for the Advancement of Coloured People from the time of its inception in the 1920's up until the present day? Was it not pressure from the American Jewish Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai B'rith which promoted the 'Genocide Convention' on to the rule book of the United Nations? Was it not as a result of the dogged persistence of the Board of Deputies of British Jews that the Race Relations Acts found their way into the Statute book?

Are not a vast number of multi-racial projects on both sides of the Atlantic financed by the Jewish dominated Ford Foundation? Was not the Institute for Race Relations up until the recent palace revolution, controlled and financed by Jewish international financiers such as Sir Frederick

MARTIN WEBSTER examines a glaring moral inconsistency

Jewry's Stand on Race Ambiguous

Seebom and Harry Oppenheimer? Was not the Runnymede Trust originally organised as a result of the instigation and backing of the B'nai B'rith, and does not the Trust's Chairman, Dipak Nandy, have his frequent air trips to New York paid for by the Ford Foundation? Do not Jewish businessmen figure prominently as Chairmen of Race Relations Board tribunals — not least the tribunal that pressed the prosecution of NF organisers Peter Applin and Ken Taylor?

All these and many other activities indicate that Jewry is and has been the greatest single influence behind the promotion of what has become known as the Race Relations Industry, and that in particular Jewish Community organisations are at pains to promote racial inter-breeding between the White Christian populations of Britain, America and Western Europe and a political and cultural climate in those countries of cosmopolitanism and liberalism as opposed to nationalism.

Such activities on the part of Jewry would be regarded by us as sincere, if misguided, and not a matter of special attention in our columns, if the leaders of the Jewish Community promoted multi-racialism and racial integration as a way of life among their own people. But they do not. On the contrary they pursue a rigorous policy of

what can only be described as racial nationalism, the political expression of which is Zionism.

There is abundant evidence available to prove this contention, particularly in Jewish community newspapers such as the *Jewish Chronicle*, the acknowledged "organ of British Jewry". Some ten years ago I remember reading in the *JC* a report of a speech made to a Jewish audience by a Mr. Jim Rose in which he said: "Some people say that it does not matter how many Jews there are, but what quality of Jew. I say we must have quantity and quality." Unless I am very much mistaken this was the same Jim Rose who was later to become a key figure in the Race Relations Industry and author of the Institute of Race Relations book *Colour and Citizenship*.

DANGERS OF ASSIMILATION

One may also read in the *JC*, week after week, without fail, exhortations by Jewish community leaders to beware of the dangers of assimilation. This fact has been drawn attention to in the past, but spokesmen for the Jewish community have always insisted that their opposition to assimilation does not reflect a racialistic attitude, but

THOUGHT FOR THE MONTH

One of the notable curiosities of modern liberalism is the striking variance between the majority and minority liberal — a variance of intent, not content. Majority and minority liberals not only have different motivations, but they are accorded strikingly different privileges. To the majority liberal, his ideology is at best a warm faith in human goodness and human intelligence, at worst a dubious set of value judgements which it is wiser and safer to accept than reject. To the minority liberal, liberalism represents a package of solid accomplishments that has not only put money in his pocket, but has also put down or is putting down his old superiors and mentors, thereby satisfying his long simmering, all-too-human desire for getting even. Liberalism, consequently, is to the minority member a pragmatic program of advancement, a chance for revenge and an idealistic crusade. It wraps him in a glittering robe of shining generalities, but conveniently accords him the privilege of being a racist and even encourages him to be one. The majority liberal is permitted no such double standard. A minority racist can be a good liberal. A majority racist cannot be a liberal at all and is anathematised as an incipient Nazi.

Wilmot Robertson: *THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY*

simply a desire to preserve the Jewish religion.

This explanation may be seen to be spurious by the fact that the Jewish religion does not make the slightest attempt to win converts, and by the fact that the procedure laid down whereby non-Jews may become accepted adherents of the Jewish religion is so complex, demanding and time-consuming that it has a deterrent effect on most would-be applicants — who are usually persons who wish to marry a Jew or Jewess, but who meet with strong objections from their intended parents-in-law. In this context it is worth noting that Jews who "marry out" become outcasts.

In an advertisement published in the *JC* on April 28 by the Committee for Jewish Survival it was made clear that religious observance was by no means the sole criterion for judging 'Who is a Jew' by stating: "Until now, many otherwise non-observant Jews have made it clear that, come what may, they will not be a party to mixed marriages." This committee was set up to prevent the tiny number of Gentiles resident in Israel, who wish to be granted full citizenship rights and who therefore have to become "Jews", from becoming recognised by the Israeli state as Jews by means of a simple declaration of intent.

In its concluding comments the advertisement declares: "Our young people are confused when they hear that in Israel there is now a law that a Gentile who has not been properly converted is still recognised as a Jew . . . The only conclusion they can draw is that maintaining the difference between Jews and Gentiles is not so important and therefore intermarrying is not so terrible. Dare we remain silent? Can we

really try to imbue our young with the desire to preserve Jewish identity and silently see a farce made of it before our eyes?"

"WHO IS A JEW?"

The fact that the number of Gentile would-be-Jewish residents in the Israeli State is too small to disrupt its racial or cultural homogeneity would appear to be beside the point. The advertisers clearly wish to sustain the traditional effect of the Israeli's Law of Return, the purpose of which in practice differs very little from the primary race law of National Socialist Germany — i.e. that "only members of the race may be citizens of the State".

The pains to which the British Jewish community goes in order to "imbue its young with a desire to preserve Jewish identity" are considerable. For example, a few weeks after the first Race Relations Act became law, it was reported in the *JC* that the Board of Deputies of British Jews had issued a circular to Jewish youth clubs which stated that it had come to the Board's attention that some clubs, in order to make themselves eligible for special Government grants available to "multi-racial" youth clubs, had allowed the admission of token numbers of Gentile members. The Board warned that it would cut off its financial grants to those clubs that persisted with such practices.

By such actions and statements as these, my contention that the leaders of organised Jewry pursue a hypocritical "don't do as we do — do as we say" double-standard in the matter of community relations and racial integration is amply justified. The implication of this double-standard is that

scrambling ground for get-rich-quick developers, with municipal planning authorities seemingly offering the barest minimum of restraint. The Piccadilly plan is merely the latest instance of this tendency.

The setting up of a Department of the Environment which goes through the motions of appearing to care for the landscape seems to be no more than a soporific, designed to substitute talk for action, for in a money-dominated body-politic such as that under which we live there is little chance that the developers will ever be brought firmly under control as they should be. We have got to have a type of political leadership which in the design of city centres is completely free from any accountant's mentality and which plans and builds, not for the profits of the coming decade, but for the cultural enrichment of a millennium. Personally, I look forward to a time when we have a Government with the courage to knock half of London down and build it again in a manner befitting the capital city of a great and civilised nation with a proud history, rather than that of an eldorado for property sharks, wheeler-dealers and landlords on the make.

Jewry wishes all races to mongrelise themselves — except itself; all nations to lose their sense of special identity — except its own.

An indication as to why Jewry pursues this policy was given to me by a Jewish community journalist last year. He said that the Jewish community would always be hostile to and work against any British nationalist movement such as the National Front, even if it refrained from any critical reference to Jewry, because even though the majority of Jews are sustained by their own nationalism (Zionism) it was not possible or practical for all Zionist Jews to live in Israel. Thus large sections of Jewry had to reside in other nations and these sections always felt safer living in countries that were liberal, cosmopolitan and multi-racial. Thus the development of racial-nationalist aspirations on the part of host countries must inevitably pose a threat to the security of Jewry.

FRANK SPEAKING NEEDED

This seems to me to be a tragic state of affairs. Such an attitude on the part of Jewry has all the potential of a future major source of "anti-Semitism" — and on the short term it certainly explains why militant Right Wing and neo-Fascist Jewish organisations such as The 62 Group, the Jewish Defence League and its allies in Young Herut recruit the assistance of the Red thugs of the Communist Party and the International Socialists when making vicious and unprovoked attacks on National Front members and their property. Though the Jewish militants despise the Red louts, they are at least internationalist-minded, hostile to British nationalism, and as such are useful cannon-fodder.

This journal and the National Front in no way seeks confrontation with the Jewish community, and does not seek to deny the right of Jews to sustain their own racial-national identity. On the contrary, we would only be too happy to see the mass of the British people emulate the disciplined desire of Jewry to preserve its integrity. This journal and the National Front were established to encourage just that kind of development among the British people.

But in seeking to prevent us from exercising that right in our own homeland by paying for the circularisation of 'anonymous' defamatory literature and tolerating the commission of vicious assaults against our supporters and property, the Jewish community is putting itself in the wrong.

We believe that among the leaders of the Jewish community of Britain there are a number of reasonable people who deplore such hypocritical and provocative conduct. We suggest that these people speak up loudly and clearly so that the relationship between Jewish and British nationalists can be based on mutual respect and tolerance, not on suspicion and hostility.

UGLIFICATION

Contd. from page 8

day happily resisted all temptations to alter their magnificent landscape for the sake of shoddy commercial advantage.

In Britain, a country that has produced a steady stream of the world's finest architects in many different ages, we are left with a series of city landscapes that contrast depressingly with these great Continental centres. While we are not short of great buildings, our city design is completely bereft of any plan, and thus of any commendable symmetry, spaciousness or perspective. The architecturally valuable, instead of being so placed as to show to the best advantage and blend with the civic whole, is tucked away in odd corners, sometimes not visible until one is a few feet away, or built in juxtaposition to concrete trash that is never absent from view whether one is standing North, South, East or West. In London the great wide avenue of the Thames, which is envied by so many capitals for its great natural advantages as a building site, is today almost totally wasted from that point of view. The whole of the central area of our leading city has become a mad

ANATOMY OF RED REVOLUTION

by a Russian

THE STRUGGLE FOR WORLD POWER

by George Knupffer. (Paperback £1.50; \$4.00. Cloth £2.25; \$6.00)

The old proverb that "truth lies at the bottom of a well" is more applicable than ever in our complicated modern world in which the function of the communications media is to deceive rather than to inform. In Soviet Russia today nobody ever reads the newspapers in the normal fashion; instead they have become adepts at "reading between the lines" in order to form some idea of what is really happening. Here in Britain we are more fortunate; we need not resort to such expedients in an attempt to interpret our suborned Press when we have at hand such an excellent guide as *The Struggle for World Power*, now in an enlarged third edition. Mr. Knupffer's fascinating book tears away the veils of deception and shows us the cold reality which lies behind them — the sinister and well-advanced schemes of the group of immensely rich men who plan to take over the world.

The so-called "free" Western world lives today in an atmosphere of mounting tensions and pressures, nuclear war threats, industrial strife, racial unrest, mass unemployment and ceaseless erosion of the nations' currencies. Who is to blame? Many people would point an accusing finger at Communism, and they would be right — but only partially right. Communism is only the often-recalcitrant branch office of a much more powerful parent establishment; it is an enemy, but not the ultimate enemy. Mr. Knupffer shows us how the alien creed of Communism was imported into Russia from the West when top international bankers in New York and Berlin financed the Russian Revolution as the first stage in their plan to achieve world domination. That financial support has continued ever since.

GLOBAL CONQUEST

In the eyes of its creators, however, Communism has not proved an unqualified success as an instrument for global conquest; it has got itself a bad name and become disobedient and unmanageable; nor is it the kind of gospel to win all hearts! So the conspirators, whilst still subsidising Communist régimes in many parts of the world, are vigorously pursuing their alternative policy of Socialism and internationalism,

with acceptance of World Government and World Federation. If once the focal point of the conspiracy seemed to be in Moscow, now the centre and focal point of the same struggle for global power, backed by the same people as before, is not in Moscow but in Washington and New York, the role of the Third International having been assumed by the United Nations Organisation. Mr. Knupffer warns us that "if we continue to see the enemy only in Moscow, we will be stabbed in the back by the enemy in New York . . . But the enemy, like the one in Russia, is only using America as a base; both are victims of a subtle and powerful subversive force which they have not recognised in time".

LIMITLESS MONEY

It is obvious that a conspiracy of such gigantic proportions as this, aiming at a world take-over, must have limitless money at its disposal. Acquiescence and co-operation must be bought with bribes of high office and well-paid jobs; propaganda must be lavishly supplied, mass media channels bought up and controlled, and agents of every kind, often unsuspecting ones, brought into the network and used in the cause. There is, in fact, no problem over the supply of money — because the monopoly for the creation of credit is in the hands of the bankers themselves. Painstakingly and with great clarity, the author explains to the ordinary reader the way in which the financial system works, revealing it as the most audacious swindle of all time, whereby the nations are robbed of the use of their own currencies.

Having dealt at length with the root causes of inflation and unemployment, he goes on to show us how the usury-cum-money-creation system involves a perpetual accumulation of permanent debt and interest burdens on the economies, and that the day must come when there will be a general economic and political collapse as debts become unpayable and currencies devalued to extinction. Meanwhile, the conspirators are preparing to transform their power from that of Finance Capitalism to that of a godless, Socialist/Communist World Government — universal slavery under a vast centralised bureaucracy, imposed by an unlimited terror with total brainwashing.

We are warned that the forces of subversion are close to success. In the still free countries the various Conservative parties of the nominal Right and even the Christian churches have largely failed to understand the aims and methods of the subverters and the positive policies that must be adopted to defeat them. Unless such knowledge rapidly becomes widespread, the enslavement of the whole world will take place within a very few years.

Mr. Knupffer outlines the plan of campaign for those who are aware of the truth. He stresses that the false doctrines of the "materialistic-messianists" on both sides of the Iron Curtain must be replaced by spiritual values or there is no hope for mankind. Among other steps, the monopoly for the creation of credit must be removed from the financiers and restored to the nation states to whom it properly belongs. Deprived of their financial magic wands, the would-be World Tyrants will wither away into insignificance and cease from troubling us. A totally absorbing book with a grim message but still holding out a ray of hope for humanity, if only we can act in time.

BANKERS' PLOT EXPOSED

THE MONEY MANUFACTURERS

by Clare Macdonald
(National Front Pamphlet 10p)

Understanding the world's money system is the key to a great part of the understanding of world politics. We are not lacking in literature exposing the fraudulence of existing financial methods, but not until the appearance of this booklet has there been published in recent times an up to date, easy to read, cheap to buy, short and concise analysis of the effect of international money power on political events in the world.

Miss Macdonald, one of the foremost women activists of the National Front, has put into this booklet a mine of interesting information, well researched but not overladen with references and statistics as some similar works are.

The booklet begins by emphasising that what we know today as 'Capitalism' falls into two distinct categories, one productive and the other parasitic. The productive form, which incorporates most of the familiar principles of free-enterprise, has little to do with and does not necessitate the existence of the parasitic form, which embraces most modern and orthodox practices of finance.

The workings of the latter are based

Contd. on next page

BANKERS PLOT

Contd.

upon the freedom given to the bankers to actually determine the amount of money in existence according to their own interests and then bring that money into existence by the extension of credit — while being paid in interest for doing so.

Because this system is seldom related to the actual capacity of industry to produce or to the demand of the consumer market for goods, there is continual economic instability in the world, with booms and slumps, inflation, unemployment and consequent political unrest.

NAILING THE LIE

The booklet nails the lie that the modern disease of inflation is due primarily to wage-increases among workers and pinpoints the fact that workers' income, as an actual proportion of gross national wealth, has declined rather than increased in recent years. Inflation is the cause, rather than the result, of wage demands, and the cause is due in the last analysis to the lack of control of money exercised by the nation through the Government.

The booklet exposes the sterility of orthodox 'socialism', which conducts a war against private industry while not recognising that the root of the social evils it purports to fight against lies with the international money system — of which industrial capital is as much the victim as the worker. As a corollary of this, it spotlights the sympathetic stance taken by high finance towards socialist parties and mentions a few of the many big-moneyed backers of left-wing political movements.

Perhaps if this book can be got into the hands of some of our starry-eyed young 'revolutionaries' of today, who preach left-wing socialism as a cure for the worker's ills they might be saved many years of folly.

The book exposes the powerful financial forces behind the Russian Revolution, and how in more recent times big banking interests in the West have been falling over themselves in their efforts to give financial aid to Communist regimes, saving them from the consequences of their own industrial and agricultural inefficiency. Indeed, if Capitalism and Communism were so irreconcilably opposed, would we not see these interests encouraging economic collapse in the Communist world as a means of discrediting Communism and re-establishing 'Capitalism'?

The booklet explains why this has not happened and will not happen. Communism and International Finance-Capitalism are but two sides of the same coin, being but two means towards the same end — World Government by a small, tightly-knit cosmopolitan elite, holding the nations and peoples of the world in slavery.

PUBLIC MEETING

at

CONWAY HALL

RED LION SQUARE

LONDON W.C.1.

Tuesday, June 27th 7.30 p.m.

THEME:-

END ANARCHY IN ULSTER!

SPONSORED BY:-

National Front

How To Obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

.....

IF OVERSEAS, SEALED OR UNSEALED

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF

RATES (12 issues):

British Isles: £1.50p

British Commonwealth: £1.50p unsealed

£1.80p sealed

Foreign: £1.50p unsealed; U.S.A. \$4.50 unsealed

£2.30p sealed

Discounts can be obtained
for bulk purchases as follows:-

20-49 copies: 30 per-cent

50-99 copies: 40 per-cent

100-249 copies: 50 per-cent

250 copies and over: 60 per-cent

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to:
50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CR0 2QF, Surrey

The Editor,
"Spearhead",
10 Birkbeck Hill,
LONDON SE21.

Letters

SIR: Listening to the speeches and reading the press commentaries in connection with the recent Royal visit to France, one was bound to feel that we are still in the stage of having learned nothing from history.

The childish view of every act that serves to cement international ties is that it constitutes the final and lasting state of affairs between the nations concerned, and that all previous history during which that happy state of affairs did not exist was a mistake, a process of immaturity leading up to the present moment of revelation and enlightenment. There seems no evidence that anything other than this view is held of the present Anglo-French entente.

Such diplomacy is all very well provided that it is placed in right perspective, as was the case with that of such giants as Chatham and Bismarck, i.e. as nothing more than a temporary stage of national development — to be maintained for just as long as national aims and interests require. In the case of present diplomacy viz-a-viz France there is no doubt that this is the very last way that its shapers look upon the matter.

R. P. BRIDGES
Maidstone, Kent

SIR: I should like to bring your attention to a new practice in housing. Recently my family and I had an appointment with the Toynbee Housing Association with the intention of being rehoused by these people in a GLC housing estate now under construction. To be rehoused, I had to join the Association, as members were being allocated the first 300 houses being built.

In the interview, after discussing rents, etc., the two trendy interviewers asked me if I knew the aims of the Toynbee Housing Association, and I had to say that I had never heard of them. They then said that it was their aim to develop a "community relations" programme, and asked would I object to being housed next door to a family of Asian or African origin, as these people tend to stick together and the Association wishes to assimilate them. I blurted out that

the English wished to live together too. The interviewer went on to say that in the East End they had a large Pakistani community and that amongst them were some wonderful people, and that I should remember that also in the East End they had the Krays and that they were "white". By this time my head was swimming. I felt choked up to think that an Englishman has to suffer this sort of thing to get a house.

NAME AND ADDRESS SUPPLIED

SIR: We are led to understand that the IRA objective is to force Britain to relinquish Ulster as a part of British territory so as to incorporate it in a "United Ireland". All sensible reasoning compels one to assume that sovereign independence for Ireland must necessarily be implied in this objective.

Oddly, though, it appears of no consequence whatsoever to the intelligentsia of the IRA (least of all a matter to incite terrorism) that the Government of Eire is at present calmly preparing to take that country into the EEC hand in hand with hated Britain, thus costing it, like us, a massive loss of sovereignty.

This, incidentally, would render the much contested border issue scarcely more controversial than that of a county boundary. If this is what the IRA want it to be, what is all the recent terrorism about? If not, their first priority should be to aim their attacks against the Dublin Government, because to aim them against Britain seems ludicrously irrelevant in view of likely developments.

Thus the supposed motivations of recent terrorism could surely only make sense in the context of proverbial Irish wit! Regrettably, a vast number of Irishmen, not to mention others, are either too gullible or

too dim-witted to see the sick joke, or observe the glaring incongruity it poses.

There must be many in high places who have observed it (including, I suggest, the British Government) but who remain obdurately silent on the matter.

Those who are familiar with the machinations of the One World planners will not only notice this incongruity but will readily spot the explanation. The true instigators of the terrorism have about as much interest in furthering the traditional aspirations of Irish nationalists as might be suspected from a Martian. Their motivation has principally been to deflect Irish passions away from the massive betrayal of Irish sovereignty which they intend shall take place through Ireland's joining the EEC and towards giving further impetus to the perennial internationalist struggle to weaken and finally destroy Britain.

R. D. MOLESWORTH
Tivoli, Cheltenham, Glos.

SIR: With zooming house prices everywhere, may I inform your readers that house prices in at least one part of Birmingham are falling.

One house I inspected recently has had its price reduced from £4,600 to £3,600 freehold since October 1970.

This and similar houses with like price reductions are situated in the select Birmingham district of Edgbaston, in the Gillott Road area.

Lest readers are tempted to rush to Birmingham to buy these houses, I should add that the location is rapidly becoming alien and bears a marked resemblance to an African town. Need I say more?

D. E. SACKSEN
Moseley, Birmingham

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th. of the month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in *The World*.

Sir—The 'quiet revolution' which Mr Heath promised us in 1970 is now revealed as a plot to transform the union with the great white dominions of Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Rhodesia and, if possible, South Africa as well (please note, Mr F. Barter of Rowlands Castle).

Most of your readers' letters disclose a disenchantment with the three older parties and seem to be broadly agreed as to what the political objectives of this country ought to be. Most of these are similar to those of the National Front, which because of its uncompromising nationalism has been labelled 'extreme right wing' by the propagandists of the other parties, as though this were something reprehensible. Only a few years ago the aims of the NF would have been regarded as conventionally Conservative.

Since its inception in 1966 its growth has been phenomenal, due largely to its slogan THE NATIONAL FRONT PUTS BRITAIN FIRST

(please note, Mr H. Harrison of Borehamwood) and its call for a closer union with the great white dominions of Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Rhodesia and, if possible, South Africa as well (please note, Mr F. Barter of Rowlands Castle).

Consequently supporters of the NF welcome the birth of a new 'extremist' independent weekly—*The World*. Only those who understand the real nature of the conflict and what is at stake are capable of giving the necessary lead which will turn the tide of Great Britain's destiny.

D. S. FRASER HARRIS
Chairman, South-West Regional Council, The National Front.
Cedar Cottage, Porth Navas, Falmouth, Cornwall.

What has happened is that Mr Heath, continuing the policy of his predecessor and mentor Mr Harold

Trouble shooting

Will the Eyes Have It?

The Asian take-over of our land is well advanced. Southall, Middlesex, is almost a cliché example of the shape of things to come everywhere throughout Britain. English people are now a minority in this London suburb. I drove down its High Street a few Saturdays ago and could only count a couple of score White people among the hundreds of Asians who thronged the pavements. The three local cinemas now only show Asian films. The sight of Asian women, draped with babies, squatting down in gutters to defecate is not uncommon. The local Council is increasingly falling under the sway of Asian members.

Much the same sort of story may be told of Smethwick, Birmingham, Bradford, Wolverhampton and many other of 'our' big cities. In these places street upon street of houses are occupied by Asians. More and more are taken over by them everyday. The tentacles of the Asian advance spread out from the ghettos remorselessly.

White owner-occupiers in districts in the path of the Asian quest for *lebensraum* live in dread of the first Coloured family to move into their road, for within a matter of months, unless they move out, they know they will be trapped in an Asian slum. To avoid this fate many householders are obliged to sell their homes at prices lower than the normal market value.

Naturally there is big money to be made out of this fearful situation — and ever increasing numbers of Asian businessmen and speculators are organising themselves to make it. One such firm is the Chuhuan Investment Company, owned by B. S. Chuhuan and P. S. Paire. This firm, whose head office is at 173 Wellington Road, Bilston, Wolverhampton, operates by sending out the following letter to White house-holders in districts near to Immigrant areas:

"Our clients are interested in purchasing houses in your district if you are considering selling your house. Our clients will pay the legal fees for you. Completion date to suit your requirements. Please state your sale price and time of viewing."

To make it clear who the firm's clients are, the heading on the notepaper states in bold type "Immigrant Advisory Services". The effect of such a letter distributed in a White neighbourhood can only be described as traumatic. Once the householders see an Immigrant family inspecting the home of just one of their neighbours, then large numbers rush to sell. The longer they leave the decision to move, the more Immigrants in the district, the lower the value of their

property. The operation mounted by firms like Chuhuan Investment Company is known in the trade as "blockbusting".

The Asian take-over manifests itself not just in the realm of property, but also in business — and with Governmental assistance. I have for some time been wondering, for example, why an ever increasing number of sub-Post Offices, usually attached to grocery shops, are being operated by Asians. There are dozens of such instances in S. E. London alone. Reliable but off-the-record information now reaches me that the Government has decided to give Kenya and other East African Asians preferential treatment in the matter of sub-Post Office franchises "In order to help them establish themselves in Britain."

If these East African Asians have been robbed of their businesses and left destitute and penniless in the Africanisation programmes — as we are led to believe in the sob-stories churned out by the national Press — how is it that these so-called refugees are able to find the capital to open up grocery stores, let alone operate a G.P.O. franchise within months of their arrival in Britain?

Other questions may be asked, not least: why did the Home Secretary grant the issue of a further 500 entry vouchers to Kenya Asians, which will result in the influx of not less than 20,000 people including dependents, when more than a million Britons are tramping the streets begging for jobs and when thousands of British families are living in slums?

It is when these facts are borne in mind that one realises fully the awful truth in Enoch Powell's recent statement: "... but it is when Englishmen look into the eyes of Asia that they see who it is that will dispute with them the ownership of their native land".

Minority Rights in Eire

With all propaganda about how the Roman Catholic minority in Northern Ireland is "persecuted" and "denied civil rights" by the "oppressive Orange Establishment" many people have gained the impression that the Republic of Ireland is a model of tolerance where its religious minority — Protestants — are concerned.

Nothing, in fact, could be farther from the truth. Indeed, if Catholics in Northern Ireland were subjected to the degree of discrimination that is the lot of Protestants in the South, then they might have an excuse for engaging in violent conduct. In an article published in *Peace News* of January 28,

1971, the Minority Rights Group revealed the following:

"The Protestant population of the Republic of Ireland . . . are a small and diminishing minority, their legal position is more evidently threatened than that of the Catholics in Northern Ireland. There are now 130,000 adherents of the various Protestant denominations within the 26 counties . . . in 1961 the official return showed 145,000 Protestants. At the time of the census taken shortly after the partition of the island in 1920 the Protestants in the south were 221,000 strong.

" . . . In the senior ranks of the civil service they are greatly under-represented, holding only a little over 1 per cent of the posts. Their numbers in the army are minuscule, amounting to .016 per cent of its strength. Only four of the 144 members of the Dail — the lower house of Parliament — are Protestants, and they are not there as representatives of their religion.

" . . . The most evidently discriminatory measure is in article 44 of the constitution, which declares that "the State recognises the special position of the Catholic Church as the guardian of the faith professed by the great majority of its citizens" . . . it gives the Hierarchy a tremendous ability to ensure that things go its way.

"This in turn leads to other measures in the field of morals and religion in which the law of the state adheres to Catholic doctrine. Contraception and divorce are prohibited, and even the recognition of foreign divorces is not permitted. "Blasphemy" . . . is a crime. A member of one Protestant sect was bound over to keep the peace for saying things "offensive to the listeners' religious views.

" . . . If the constitutional claim of the Republic to rule the whole island became a reality the position would be that the Protestant minority would rise to 25 per cent of the overall population and institutional and informal discrimination would become far more potent."

As one who was brought up a Catholic I have no special brief for Protestantism. But it is only when one learns the true facts of the plight of Protestants in Eire that one can understand the feelings of Protestants in Northern Ireland.

Under Heath's treasonable 'initiative' they stand to lose not just their treasured British citizenship, but the right to sustain the faith of their fathers. Two excellent reasons why Britons should fight to defend the rights and freedoms of their Ulster Loyalist compatriots.

LOCAL ELECTIONS REPORT

Blackburn and Leicester Poll Well for NF

ONE of the best election results in the history of the National Front was achieved during last month's local elections when Blackburn Branch member Mr. Kevin Currie won 20 per cent of the poll, standing in the St. Mathews Ward of the town. This was the first time that the ward had been contested by the NF — indeed the first time that the NF had stood for any election in the area.

This was a three cornered contest with the Liberals winning 799 votes, Labour 669 votes and Mr. Currie 332 votes. The winning liberal candidate, Mrs. Freda Barker, being connected with the local Council for Community Relations was able to tap the highly organised Coloured Immigrant vote, which was driven to the polling station in droves. Nevertheless the massive all British vote for the NF has proved to be a nasty shock for local multi-racialists, who moan that "irreparable harm" has been done to local race relations.

Blackburn Branch, under the Chairmanship of Mr. E. Adamson, in collaboration with the North of England Regional Organiser Mr. Walter Barton, decided that as it had only recently established itself in the city, it would be best to concentrate manpower on just one ward as a means of testing local support. The result of the highly organised campaign surpassed their enthusiastic expectations and served to illustrate that anti-NF 'smear' literature has little effect on NF election results. In addition to a handsome election address the Branch also produced two issues of a propaganda broadsheet *North-West Sentinel* in support of the campaign.

Excellent results were also achieved by NF candidates in Leicester. Following Branch Committee member Mr. John Kynaston's excellent result in a by-election last year in St. Margarets ward where he achieved more than 7 per cent of the poll, the branch determined to put up a good showing at the main elections. After the appointment of Mr. Graham Eustace as Branch Organiser the Branch was given a completely renewed organisational structure, based on ward sub-units. Interest in the NF manifested itself to such a degree during the three months leading up to the elections that the Branch felt able to nominate six candidates — double the number of Liberal candidates.

LABOUR ENRAGED

Enraged by the NF intervention the local Labour Party tried to have the NF candidate for Newton ward — Mr. Ron Silverwood — deleted from the lists as he is a Corporation employee. Mr. Silverwood refused to be intimidated by the Labour barrack-room lawyers, so the only effect the Labour protest had was to crash the NF campaign into the headlines, where it stayed day after day. The Conservative, Liberal and

Communist candidates also launched big attacks on the NF, all of which were reported in the local press, and all of which did the NF claim to represent a real alternative to the Old Gang parties an immense amount of good.

This was an extremely hard-fought election, with more candidates standing than at any time for 25 years, in addition to which the wards are extremely large, with polls of between three and a half and 6,000 being recorded, but even so the NF's first major effort was well rewarded.

Best of the NF results was that achieved by the Branch Chairman, Mr. Ken Sanders, with 11.1 per cent (564 votes). Second was Mr. John Kynaston, St. Margarets

ward, with 9.82 per cent (359 votes); third was Mr. Graham Eustace, Evington ward, with 9.40 per cent (504 votes); fourth was Mr. Roy Dodge, Humberstone ward, who won 7.46 per cent (452 votes) — (at 22 Roy is the youngest member of the Branch Committee, and his addresses were delivered by a legion of dollie-birds); fifth was Mr. Ian Baxter, Castle ward, who won 6.29 per cent (239 votes) and sixth was Mr. Ron Silverwood, Newton ward, with 5.16 per cent (278 votes).

In Leicester the NF attracted 2,396 votes from six wards, and achieved overall average of 8.17 per cent of the total poll. The seven Communist candidates barely won



MRS. RITA BUCKLEY
NF Council Candidate on Yorkshire T.V.

a combined total of 500 votes. It is understood that if the Communists stand again they will devote less time to distributing anti-NF smear leaflets, as they proved to be utterly ineffective, even counter-productive.

HUDDERSFIELD WARDS KEPT WARM

Regretfully, against the excellent results in Blackburn and Leicester, must be set disappointing results from Huddersfield and poor results from Bristol. During the past year Huddersfield Branch fell on hard times, and in fact only reformed under the Chairmanship of Mrs. Rita Buckley one month before the election campaign was due to begin. As a result only a very small amount of literature was distributed in the nine wards contested. Despite this three of the candidates — including Mrs. Buckley in Birkby ward — were able to poll more than 6 per cent, which is more than some NF candidates are able to achieve even when supported by a complete campaign. Another Huddersfield lady NF candidate who achieved more than 6 per cent of the poll was Miss Terry Howe in Lockwood ward — a well-known Yorkshire advertising model. On election night Mrs. Buckley was at the Yorkshire T.V. studios commenting on results as they were declared.

Internal Branch problems also had a bad effect on the Bristol results, which overall were half as good as those achieved last year. Results here varied from a best 3.9 per cent to an embarrassing worst of 0.8 per cent. Five seats were contested. In comparison to last year it would appear the NF suffered as a result of the Red Front not distributing smear leaflets or attacking candidates' homes and business premises! Latest

information from Bristol indicates that the Branch has overcome the difficulties that have beset it, and that members are not discouraged, but determined to do better next year.

Other results from about the country are: Mr. Ted Budden, Chairman of Brighton Branch, in Knoll ward, Hove, 5.1 per cent; Mr. R. Fulker, Park ward, Reading, 2.38 per cent (without the support of any local Branch, Mr. Fulker carried out the campaign almost single-handedly, despite vicious physical assaults by gangs of Pakistanis); Mr. Michael Stampfer, Chairman, Tunbridge Wells Branch, Tunbridge Wells East ward, 3.8 per cent; Miss Anne Brooker, Treasurer, Tunbridge Wells Branch, Tunbridge Wells North ward, 3.8 per cent; and Mr. Ken Taylor, Chairman North Herts Branch, Hitchin Bearton ward, 3.4 per cent. In the Tunbridge Wells and Hitchin wards, the NF only put up one candidate for the two seats available in each ward, thus they were only able to tap half the potential NF vote.

It is right that *Spearhead* is frank about the NF's bad results as well as the excellent results. The main lesson to be drawn from this campaign is that the NF itself, its policies and its candidates can be an acceptable 'product' to a substantial section of the electorate, and that the NF 'product' may be 'sold' effectively where local Branches keep up sustained work in the wards, work to win local press publicity, organise themselves on a 52 week a year professional basis, and give their unqualified support to disciplined and unified local leadership. There are no short cuts to success — it comes as a result of careful organisation and hard slog. So Blackburn and Leicester members justly earned their successes, and are an example to all other branches.

Barry NF News

Who said the NF was "dead" in Wales? Members of the newly formed Barry (Glamorgan) Branch assert they are very much alive — and kicking altogether too hard for the likes of the local political Establishment, indeed to goodness!

As the Branch's newness prevented its involvement in this year's Council elections, organisers Mr. Fred Browning and Miss Valerie Wilcox decided to mount a "Don't Vote" campaign. More than 3,000 special leaflets were distributed, with the result that about ten per cent less electors bothered to vote than last year.

The campaign caused an unprecedented number of recounts and a number of members of the majority Conservative Party — who take a rather proprietorial view of municipal affairs — suddenly found themselves ex-Councillors. Insult was added to injury when the Tories discovered — too late — that the main distribution point for the NF leaflets was the local Conservative Association Club. Local press reports indicate that Mr. Browning, who happens to be a member of the club, is likely to face expulsion from it as soon as Committee members have recovered from bouts of apoplexy.

Barry members have also successfully won a campaign to oblige the Penarth Council to include *Spearhead* amongst the literature available in the public library reading room. In reference to the Branch's numerous campaigns, a correspondent (not a member of the NF) to one of the local papers remarked: "... scarcely a week goes by without the name of the National Front coming to my attention. Are we at last to be given an alternative to the old Labour-Tory fiasco?"

Camden & Brent

Some months ago it was announced that the Borough of Brent, North London, was making plans to receive many more thousands of Kenya Asian Immigrants. As the borough is already the most Immigrant infested part of London, Camden and Brent NF Branch has promoted a sustained anti-Immigration campaign in the district, under the direction of the Branch Organiser Mr. Blaise Wyndham.

As a result of pressure from supporters of Community Relations Groups the local Council has declared that it will allow Immigrant, Communist and I.R.A. groups to use municipal meeting halls, but not the National Front. As a result NF members have been expressing local White peoples' views at meetings organised by the Community Relations Council. The confrontation has escalated as a result of the professional multi-racialists putting pressure on the owners of the Revere Hall, Willesden, to cancel a booking granted to the NF for a meeting due to take place on 20th May.

NF
puts
Britain
First

The National Front is Britain's fastest-growing party which says: "Put Britain and the British people first!". It is the true voice of the British people. Its main policies have been proved by one opinion poll after another to represent the views of the great majority of the British people. Find out more about the National Front by completing this form and sending it to: *The Secretary, National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF, Surrey. (Tel. 01-684 3730)*

Name

Address

.....
.....

The National Front needs money. It needs the funds to print leaflets, pamphlets and posters, to fight elections, to mount demonstrations, to organise the biggest patriotic movement in Britain.

So invest in your country's future. Send a donation to the National Front Fighting Fund today. It will be money well spent.

**THEY PUMP OUT MORE MONEY ABROAD
WHILE MILLIONS GO SHORT AT HOME**

Poverty in Britain: The Appalling Facts

TWO DISCLOSURES made recently cast an appalling light on the scale of priorities of the present Government.

Mr. Thomas Blair, head of the department of planning at the Central London Polytechnic, told the Royal Society of Health at its annual congress in Eastbourne on April 26th that 3 million families in Britain are living in slums or grossly overcrowded conditions. And 12 in every 100 homes in our cities are unfit for human habitation.

Mr. Blair said that the misery and despair caused by atrocious housing conditions was mainly the lot of poor, working class families. Housing, he said, was often old, rotting and damp. Current levels of investment and Government action could not cope with the rapid rate of obsolescence.

At least one quarter of all dwellings were more than 90 years old. Twenty-five per-cent had an estimated life of less than 30 years.

More than four out of every ten homes did not have exclusive use of the four basic amenities: a fixed bath, inside toilet, a sink with hot and cold water supply and a wash hand basin.

Mr. Blair said that behind these bricks and mortar statistics lay a story of human deprivation.

One-fifth of the nation's households, more than 7.5 million people, hovered at or below the level of Government-granted supplementary benefits. About a third of them were children — 2.5 million, or 17 per-cent of all children in the United Kingdom.

More specifically, half a million children lived in dire poverty in families where fathers are in full-time low income work.

These factors, said Mr. Blair, formed a cycle of deprivation and constant insecurity which prevented the families living a civilised existence.

MEANWHILE.....

At about the same time as these facts were disclosed, it was announced that Britain was to increase her official aid to the developing countries at an average of 7.6 per-cent a year up to 1974 and by 9 per-cent a year in 1975 and 1976.

These figures were given by Mr. Michael Noble, Minister of State for Trade and

Industry, when speaking in Santiago, Chile.

The increase exceeds that of Government expenditure in almost every domestic section of the economy.

This aid programme was outlined by Mr. Noble at the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. He said it was British policy to secure a general improvement in terms and to encourage more widespread acceptance of the need for soft terms according to the circumstances of the recipient countries.

GOVERNMENT POLICY

Here we have two perfect examples of Government policy and its fruits, two perfect examples of the thinking that prevails in Westminster. Crocodile tears are wept over the appalling facts of poverty in Britain, and pleas are no doubt made that things would be improved if only the means were available. At the same time the means clearly are available for the increased scattering of money all around the world.

Of course, charity is not the only motive behind this overseas aid. The internationally-based economic system under which we live demands it. Government will not increase purchasing power in the home market to consume what industry at home can produce, nor will it structure industry so as to cater for home market consumption. For industry to survive, therefore, there must be a continual effort to raise purchasing power all over the world and create new markets for us to sell in. It is a crazy system — crazy at least for the long suffering British people who work to produce the wealth that is sent abroad, but not crazy for the big international financial institutions who profit by the game. The money sent abroad helps to buy them political control over the countries where it is received. And in many cases cheap local labour brings a massive return on the investment, producing goods that price British goods out of world markets.

We say that it is time that the rest of the world was left to look after itself and all available financial resources in Britain directed to investment in Britain: investment in building so as to provide decent homes for the millions of slum-dwellers and investment in new industry that will give jobs to people producing goods to be sold in Britain.

read...

six principles of BRITISH NATIONALISM

by JOHN TYNDALL

15p (plus 3p postage)

from:— 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO
2QF, Surrey

Britain First

Nationalist newspaper. Editor Peter McMenemie. Price 2p. (large discounts for bulk). Obtained from: 50 PAWSONS ROAD, CROYDON CRO 2QF, SURREY.

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views Letter

edited by

A. K. Chesterton

Published by Candour Publishing Co.
5 Elmhurst Court, St. Peters Road,
Croydon, Surrey.

"The New Unhappy Lords "

An exposure of power politics

By A. K. CHESTERTON

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS is a must for the bookshelf of any student of modern politics. It represents the most lucid and startling of all commentaries on the methods of subversion being used to undermine Britain and European Civilisation.

Cloth-Bound Edition £1.40p (28s.)
Paper-back Edition 60p (12s.)

(obtainable from Nationalist Books)

NATIONALIST BOOK CENTRE

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon CRO 2QF,
Surrey

Send for our free catalogue now